

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

NIRMAL SINGH,

Plaintiff,

v.

GREGORY W. CHRISTIAN, *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. C07-1151RSL

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the parties' "Joint Status Report." Dkt. # 4. On July 23, 2007, plaintiff filed a complaint alleging that defendants have unreasonably delayed processing his application for adjustment of status and requesting that the Court mandamus defendants to approve the application. Dkt. # 1. In their joint status report, the parties assert that discovery is inappropriate because this is an Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") case that may be decided on the administrative record through dispositive motion practice. Plaintiff has, in fact, already filed a motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, the Court finds that the parties are exempt from the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and (f) relating to discovery and ORDERS as follows:

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

1 Defendants shall file an opposition and cross-motion for **November 2, 2007**
 2 summary judgment, if any, by:

3 Plaintiff shall file a reply and opposition, if any, by: **November 30, 2007**

4 Defendants shall file a reply, if any, by: **December 14, 2007**

5 TRIAL (one day, non-jury) **March 3, 2008**

7
 8 These dates are set by the Court. All other dates are specified in the Local Civil Rules. If
 9 any of the dates identified in this Order or the Local Civil Rules fall on a weekend or federal
 10 holiday, the act or event shall be performed on the next business day. These are firm dates that
 11 can be changed only by order of the Court pursuant to Local Civil Rules 10(g) and/or 7(d)(2),
 12 not by agreement of counsel or the parties. The Court will alter these dates only upon good
 13 cause shown.

14 ALTERATIONS TO ELECTRONIC FILING PROCEDURES

15 As of June 1, 2004, counsel are required to electronically file all documents with the
 16 Court. *Pro se* litigants may file either electronically or in paper form. Information and
 17 procedures for electronic filing can be found on the Western District of Washington's website at
 18 www.wawd.uscourts.gov. The following alterations to the Electronic Filing Procedures apply
 19 in all cases pending before Judge Lasnik:

20 - Section III, Paragraph F - when the aggregate submittal to the court (*i.e.*, the motion,
 21 any declarations and exhibits, the proposed order, and the certificate of service) exceeds **50**
 22 pages in length, a paper copy of the documents (with tabs or other organizing aids as necessary)
 23 shall be delivered to the Clerk's Office for chambers by 10:30 am the morning after filing. The
 24 chambers copy must be clearly marked with the words "Courtesy Copy of Electronic Filing for
 25 Chambers."

26 - Section III, Paragraph L - unless the proposed order is stipulated, agreed, or otherwise

1 uncontested, the parties need not e-mail a copy of the order to the judge's e-mail address.

2 **PRIVACY POLICY**

3 Pursuant to the General Order of the Court regarding Public Access to Electronic Case
4 Files (filed 5/29/03), parties are to redact the following information from documents and exhibits
5 before they are filed with the court:

6 * Dates of Birth - redact to the year of birth

7 * Names of Minor Children - redact to the initials

8 * Social Security Numbers - redact to the last four digits

9 * Financial Accounting Information - redact to the last four digits

10 The General Order was issued pursuant to the official policy on privacy adopted by the
11 Judicial Conference of the United States and can be found on the court's website at
12 <http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/docs>. All documents filed in the above-captioned matter must
13 comply with the Privacy Policy and the General Order.

14 **SETTLEMENT**

15 Should this case settle, counsel shall notify the Deputy Clerk as soon as possible.
16 Pursuant to GR 3(b), an attorney who fails to give the Deputy Clerk prompt notice of settlement
17 may be subject to such discipline as the Court deems appropriate.

18 DATED this 9th day of October, 2007.

19
20 

21 Robert S. Lasnik
22 United States District Judge
23
24
25
26